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## RATING APPLICABILITY



## ADULT OCCUPANT PROTECTION

The passenger compartment remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated ADEQUATE protection of the driver's chest and lower legs. Protection of the front passenger lower leg was also ADEQUATE. Protection was GOOD for all other critical body regions for both the driver and front passenger.

In the full width frontal test, protection was ADEQUATE for the chest of the rear passenger and GOOD for all other critical body regions for both the driver and rear passenger.
In the side impact test and the oblique pole test, protection offered to all critical body regions was GOOD and the vehicle scored maximum points in these tests.

The low-speed autonomous emergency braking system (AEB City) showed GOOD performance across all test scenarios.

FRONTAL OFFSET TEST ( $64 \mathrm{KM} / \mathrm{H}$ )


## Driver

| Head / neck: | 4.00 points |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chest: | 2.80 points |
| Upper legs: | 4.00 points |
| Lower legs: | 3.07 points |
| Deductions: | Nil |



Front Passenger

| Head / neck: | 4.00 points |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chest: | 4.00 points |
| Upper legs: | 4.00 points |
| Lower legs: | 3.91 points |
| Deductions: | Nil |

SIDE IMPACT TEST (50 KM/H)


## Driver

| Head: | 4.00 points |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chest: | 4.00 points |
| Abdomen: | 4.00 points |
| Pelvis: | 4.00 points |
| Deductions: | Nil |

## WHIPLASH (REAR IMPACT) PROTECTION TEST



Rear Passenger


Driver / Front Passenger

FRONTAL OFFSET\#
FULL WIDTH FRONTAL ${ }^{\text {\# }}$
SIDE IMPACT*
OBLIQUE POLE"
WHIPLASH PROTECTION
AEB - City
6.93 (out of 8)
7.93 (out of 8)
8.00 (out of 8)
8.00 (out of 8)
1.82 (out of 2)
4.00 (out of 4)
\# Scaled scores. Total test scored out of 16.00 points.

FULL WIDTH FRONTAL TEST ( 50 KM/H)


Driver

| Head: | 4.00 points |
| :--- | :--- |
| Neck: | 4.00 points |
| Chest: | 4.00 points |
| Upper legs: | 4.00 points |
| Deductions: | Nil |



## Rear Passenger

| Head: | 4.00 points |
| :--- | :--- |
| Neck: | 3.77 points |
| Chest: | 3.93 points |
| Upper legs: | 4.00 points |
| Deductions: | Nil |

## OBLIQUE POLE TEST (32 KM/H)

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Rear: } & 0.50 \text { points } \\ \text { Front: } & 1.32 \text { points }\end{array}$


| Head: | 4.00 points |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chest: | 4.00 points |
| Abdomen: | 4.00 points |
| Pelvis: | 4.00 points |
| Deductions: | Nil |

Score: $\quad 4.00$ points

| OVERLAP | $-50 \%$ | $-75 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERFORMANCE |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GOOD |  |  |  |  |

## AEB - CITY ( $10-50 \mathrm{KM} / \mathrm{H}$ )

$\qquad$
,

## CHILD OCCUPANT PROTECTION

| DYNAMIC TEST (FRONT) | 14.00 | (out of 16) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| DYNAMIC TEST (SIDE) | 8.00 | (out of 8) |
| RESTRAINT INSTALLATION | 11.66 | (out of 12) |
| ON-BOARD SAFETY FEATURES | 9.00 | (out of 13) |

In the frontal offset test, protection of the 10 year dummy was GOOD with the exception of the neck which provided a POOR level of protection. For the 6 year dummy, protection was GOOD.

In the side impact test, protection of both child dummies was GOOD.
The Mazda CX-8 is fitted with lower ISOFix anchorages on the 2nd row outboard seats and top tether anchorages on all seats in both the 2nd and 3rd rows. Installation of typical child restraints available in Australia and New Zealand showed that most child restraints could be accommodated in most rear seating positions.

FRONTAL OFFSET TEST ( 64 KM/H)


6 year old
10 year old

87\%

## CHILD OCCUPANT PROTECTION

CHILD RESTRAINT INSTALLATION*

| 吕 |  | CHILD RESTRAINT (CRS) TYPE^ | FRONT ROW |  | 2nd ROW |  |  | 3rd ROW |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PASSENGER | LEFT | CENTRE | RIGHT | LEFT | CENTRE | RIGHT |
|  | TYPE A | Rearward facing capsule | $x$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Rearward facing with harness - convertible (Model A) | $\times$ | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | - |
|  |  | Rearward facing with harness - convertible (Model B) | $\times$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | TYPE B | Forward facing with harness - convertible (Model A) | $\times$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Forward facing with harness - convertible (Model B) | $\times$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | TYPE E | Booster - 4 to 8 years | $\times$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | TYPE F | Booster - 4 to 10 years | $\times$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 즌 } \\ & \underline{0} \end{aligned}$ | TYPE A | Rearward facing capsule | $\times$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Rearward facing with harness - convertible (Model A) | $\times$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Rearward facing with harness - convertible (Model B) | $\times$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | TYPE B | Forward facing with harness - convertible (Model A) | $\times$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Forward facing with harness - convertible (Model B) | $\times$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |

* Installation of each child restraint is assessed separately in each position. Installation of multiple restraints has not been assessed and may not be possible.
- INSTALL WITHOUT PROBLEM $\quad$ INSTALL WITH CARE $\quad$ CANNOT BE FITTED SAFELY $\times$ INSTALLATION NOT ALLOWED - NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: The above list of child restraints has been selected to provide a general indication of the rated vehicle's ability to accommodate various CRS types. ANCAP does not endorse or recommend any one CRS brand or model, nor does it rate the safety of child restraints.

## VULNERABLE ROAD USER PROTECTION

OUT OF 48

The bonnet of the vehicle provided GOOD or ADEQUATE protection to the head of a struck pedestrian over most of its surface, with POOR results recorded only on the stiff windscreen pillars. The bumper scored maximum points for its protection of pedestrians' legs, with GOOD results at all test locations. Protection of the pelvis was predominantly POOR.

The autonomous emergency braking system is capable of detecting and reacting to vulnerable road users including pedestrians and cyclists. The AEB system offered GOOD performance in tests of its effectiveness in pedestrian test scenarios, with GOOD performance recorded in daylight and night test scenarios. A cyclist detection function is not available.
head Impacts
UPPER LEG IMPACTS
LOWER LEG IMPACTS
AEB - Pedestrian
AEB - Cyclist
22.18 (out of 24)
1.50 (out of 6)
6.00 (out of 6)
5.15 (out of 6)
0.00 (out of 6)

PEDESTRIAN IMPACT TEST (40 KM/H)


## AUTONOMOUS EMERGENCY BRAKING (PEDESTRIAN \& CYCLIST)

SYSTEM NAME:
OPERATIONAL FROM:
DESCRIPTION:

Autonomous emergency braking with forward collision warning
$10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
Defaults ON for every journey. System detects pedestrians in daytime and night.


## SAFETY ASSIST

The Mazda CX-8 is fitted as standard with a range of safety assist features including autonomous emergency braking (AEB), lane keep assist (LKA) and a speed assistance system (SAS).

The lane support system offered MARGINAL performance. An emergency lane keeping function is not available.
Tests of its AEB system showed GOOD performance in highway speed scenarios.

A standard-fit speed assistance system identifies the local speed limit and allows the driver to set the speed accordingly.

A seatbelt reminder system is fitted for all front and rear seating positions.

| SPEED ASSISTANCE SYSTEMS | 2.43 (out of 3) |
| :--- | :--- |
| SEAT BELT REMINDERS | 2.50 (out of 3) |
| LANE SUPPORT SYSTEMS | $2.00 \quad$ (out of 4) |
| AEB - Interurban | 2.63 (out of 3) |

## LANE SUPPORT SYSTEMS (LSS)

SYSTEM NAME: Lane-Keep Assist System (LAS) \& Lane Departure Warning System (LDWS)
OPERATIONAL FROM: $\quad 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $180 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
OVERALL PERFORMANCE: MARGINAL


## SAFETY ASSIST

## AUTONOMOUS EMERGENCY BRAKING (INTERURBAN)

| SYSTEM NAME: | Smart City Brake Support (SCBS) / Forward Obstruction Warning (FOW) with Smart Brake Support (SBS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| TYPE: | Autonomous emergency braking with forward collision warning |
| OPERATIONAL FROM: | $4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $160 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| DESCRIPTION: | Defaults ON for every journey. |
| OVERALL PERFORMANCE: | GOOD |


|  | HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE (HMI) |
| :---: | :---: |
| PERFORMANCE | GOOD |



## SPEED ASSISTANCE SYSTEMS (SAS)

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { SYSTEM NAME: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Traffic sign recognition system } \\ \text { Intelligent speed assistance }\end{array}\end{array}$

| SAS FEATURE | DESCRIPTION |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit Information Function (SLIF) | Camera \& map |
| Speed Limitation Function | System advised |

SEAT BELT REMINDERS (SBR) $\qquad$


## SAFETY FEATURES \& TECHNOLOGIES

| FEATURE / TECHNOLOGY | AVAILABILITY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AUS | NZ |
| Seat belts (three-point) for all forward-facing seats | - | $\bigcirc$ |
| Seat belt pre-tensioners (front) | $\bullet$ | - |
| Seat belt pre-tensioners (rear outboard) | - | - |
| Seat belt pre-tensioners (rear centre) | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Intelligent seat belt reminder (driver) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Intelligent seat belt reminder (front passenger) | - | - |
| Intelligent seat belt reminder (2nd row seats) | - | - |
| Intelligent seat belt reminder (3rd row seats) | $\bullet$ | - |
| Airbag - frontal (driver) | - | - |
| Airbag - frontal (passenger) | - | - |
| Airbags - side, chest protection (front seats) | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| Airbags - side, chest protection (2nd row seats) | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Airbags - side, chest protection (3rd row seats) | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Airbags - side, head protection (front seats) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Airbags - side, head protection (2nd row seats) | - | - |
| Airbags - side, head protection (3rd row seats) | $\bullet$ | - |
| Airbag - knee (driver) | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Airbag - knee (front passenger) | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Airbag disabling switch - automatic (front passenger) | - | - |
| Airbag disabling switch - manual (front passenger) | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Head restraints for all seats | - | $\bullet$ |
| Active bonnet | - | - |
| Adaptive cruise control (ACC) | - | - |
| Adaptive headlights | - | - |
| Anti-lock braking system (ABS) | - | - |
| Autonomous emergency braking (AEB) - City | - | - |
| Autonomous emergency braking (AEB) - Interurban | - | - |
| Autonomous emergency braking (AEB) - VRU | - | - |
| Automatic emergency call (eCall) | - | - |
| Automatic headlights | - | - |
| Automatic high beam | - | - |


| FEATURE / TECHNOLOGY ${ }^{\sim}$ | AVAILABILITY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AUS | NZ |
| Blind spot monitor (BSM) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Child presence alert | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Daytime running lights (DRL) | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ |
| Electronic brakeforce distribution (EBD) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bullet$ |
| Electronic data recorder (EDR) | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Electronic stability control (ESC) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Emergency brake assist (EBA) | - | - |
| Emergency stop signal (ESS) | - | - |
| Fatigue reminder | $\bullet$ | - |
| Fatigue detection | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Forward collision warning (FCW) | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ |
| Hill launch assist | $\bullet$ | - |
| Integrated child seat / restraint | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| ISOFix | - | - |
| Lane departure warning (LDW) | - | $\bullet$ |
| Lane keep assist (LKA) | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ |
| Pre-crash systems | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Rear cross-traffic alert (RCTA) | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ |
| Reversing collision avoidance (camera) | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ |
| Reversing collision avoidance (auto brake) | - | - |
| Roll stability system | $\bullet$ | - |
| Secondary / multi-collision brake | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Speed assistance - auto / intelligent speed limiter | $\bullet$ | - |
| Speed assistance - manual speed limiter | - | $\bullet$ |
| Speed assistance - speed sign recognition \& warning | - | - |
| Smart (intelligent) key | - | - |
| Trailer stability control | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ |
| Tyre pressure monitoring system (TPMS) | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Vehicle-to-infrastructure communication (V21) | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Vehicle-to-vehicle communication (V2V) | $\times$ | $\times$ |

~ Specifications \& availability subject to change. Please check with the vehicle manufacturer for confirmation of vehicle specification.

- STANDARD NOT AVAILABLE ON BASE VARIANT BUT STANDARD OR OPTIONAL ON HIGHER VARIANTS $\quad$ OPTIONAL $\times$ NOT AVAILABLE
model variants:
ANCAP safety ratings do not automatically extend to variants that have different body styles, engine configurations, driven wheels or occupant restraint systems (e.g. fewer airbags). In these cases, ANCAP considers technical evidence (e.g. fewer airbags). In these cases, ANCAP considers technical evidence
submitted by manufacturers before deciding on the extension of a rating to submitted by manufacturers
additional variants of a model.


## RATING YEAR (DATESTAMP):

The Rating Year denotes the year requirements against which a vehicle has been assessed. The Rating Year is determined by ANCAP and, for vehicles rated from 2018, the Rating Year is the year in which the vehicle was tested.

ASSESSMENT DETAILS
TESTED MAKE / MODEL TESTED VEHICLE(S) BUILT TESTED BODY TYPE TESTED VEHICLE ENGINE RATING PUBLISHED RATING UPDATED

Mazda CX-8 Akira RHD 2018
5 door SUV
2.2 litre diesel

July 2018

